

ABSTRACT Targeted disruption of the mouse *TOP3α* gene encoding DNA topoisomerase III α was carried out to study the physiological functions of the mammalian type IA DNA topoisomerase. Whereas heterozygous *top3α*^{+/-} mutant mice were found to resemble phenotypically their *TOP3α*^{+/+} littermates, no viable *top3α*^{-/-} homozygotes were found among over 100 progeny of *top3α*^{+/-} intercrosses. Examination of embryos dissected from decidual swellings and *in vitro* culturing of blastocysts from *top3α*^{+/-} intercrosses showed that implantation of *top3α*^{-/-} embryos and the induction of decidualization could occur, but viability of these embryos was severely compromised at an early stage of development. The requirement of mouse DNA topoisomerase III α during early embryogenesis is discussed in terms of its plausible role in chromosome replication and its interaction with the RecQ/SGS1 family of DNA helicases, whose members include the Bloom's syndrome and the Werner's syndrome gene products.

Several recent studies of Bloom's syndrome, Werner's syndrome, and *ataxia telangiectasia*, three congenital diseases that exhibit elevated mitotic recombination rate and high incidence of cancer (1–3), have heightened interests on the physiological roles of mammalian DNA topoisomerase III (for reviews on DNA topoisomerases, see ref. 4 and references therein). The determinants of the first two, the *BLM* and *WRN* genes, encode proteins that are homologous to the budding yeast *SGS1* gene product (1, 2), a DNA helicase that interacts with DNA topoisomerase III physically and functionally (5, 6). For human *ataxia telangiectasia* cells, which appear to lack a protein involved in cell-cycle regulation (7), overexpression of a truncated but not an intact DNA topoisomerase III was found to suppress their hyperrecombination phenotype (3). This finding was interpreted in terms of a recombinogenic DNA topoisomerase III in *ataxia telangiectasia* cells; thus overexpression of a truncated and inactive enzyme could exert a dominant negative effect (3).

Mammalian DNA topoisomerase III (8) belongs to the type IA DNA topoisomerase subfamily whose members also include bacterial DNA topoisomerases I and III, yeast DNA topoisomerase III, and the enzyme "reverse gyrase" found in hyperthermophiles (4). Information on the physiological roles of the type IA DNA topoisomerases came mostly from studies of the bacterial and yeast enzymes. The intracellular level of *Escherichia coli* DNA topoisomerase I is intricately regulated by transcription from multiple promoters (9, 10), and inactivation of the enzyme is lethal in the absence of a compensatory mutation (11, 12). Because several of the compensatory mutations were found to map in genes encoding the subunits of DNA gyrase, it was thought that *in vivo* the removal of negative

supercoils by *E. coli* DNA topoisomerase I would counter the negative supercoiling action of gyrase to maintain the proper degree of DNA supercoiling (11–13). This interpretation was modified through the proposal of the twin-supercoiled-domain model of transcription, which postulates that both positive and negative supercoils may be generated by transcription and other processes involving the tracking of macromolecular assemblies along DNA (14). According to this model, DNA gyrase, which effectively removes positive supercoils, and DNA topoisomerase I, which effectively removes negative supercoils, can be viewed as a cooperative pair that act jointly to solve the problem of excessive supercoiling of intracellular DNA (14). In the absence of *E. coli* DNA topoisomerase I, excessive negative supercoiling of intracellular DNA may lead to aberrant processes such as R-loop formation between nascent RNA and the DNA template (15, 16), and the cells may die as a consequence. *E. coli* DNA topoisomerase III, on the other hand, is dispensable; mutants lacking the enzyme exhibit a significantly higher recombination rate between repetitive sequences, however (17).

In the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, there is only one type IA enzyme, DNA topoisomerase III. Yeast cells lacking the enzyme are viable, but their growth rate is reduced by nearly 2-fold and recombination between repetitive sequences is elevated substantially (18). In addition, yeast *top3*^{-/-} diploid cells are unable to sporulate (18). Because of the low cellular level of DNA topoisomerase III in yeast and the presence of DNA topoisomerases I and II that are efficient in the removal of DNA supercoils, it is difficult to attribute the phenotypes of yeast *top3* mutants to a loss of the supercoil-removal activity of DNA topoisomerase III (19–21). An alternative interpretation is that the yeast enzyme might have a significant role in the unlinking of parental strands at the final stage of chromosome replication and/or in the dissociation of structures in mitotic cells that could lead to recombination (5, 19–21). Because both the slow growth and hyperrecombination phenotype of yeast *top3* mutants are suppressed by mutations in the *SGS1* helicase gene, it is plausible that the DNA topoisomerase may act in conjunction with the *SGS1* helicase in these processes (5). Whereas there is no direct evidence that yeast DNA topoisomerase III is a potent decatenase, it has been shown that purified *E. coli* DNA topoisomerase III, which resembles the yeast enzyme in several respects (21), is very efficient in unlinking intertwined parental strands in a plasmid DNA replication system (22).

Human DNA topoisomerase III was identified in 1996 and its structural gene mapped to chromosome 17p11.2–12 (8). Recent nucleotide sequencing results have suggested, however, that there is a variant of the enzyme encoded by a gene within the Ig lambda locus at chromosome 22q11–12 (23). This putative variant enzyme is tentatively designated DNA topoisomerase III β and the activity reported earlier (8) as DNA topoisomerase III α . The likely presence of more than one type

of IA enzyme in mammalian cells raises questions about their physiological functions and the possibility of their association with different DNA helicases. We therefore have initiated genetic studies of these enzymes in the mouse model. We report here our finding that inactivation of mouse DNA topoisomerase III α leads to early embryonic lethality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Construction of the Targeting Vector. A mouse brain cDNA library in lambda-ZAPII (Stratagene) was screened by using ³²P-labeled human TOP3 α cDNA as the probe. Several positives were obtained, and partial nucleotide sequencing of the clones confirmed their identity as mouse TOP3 α cDNA clones: they all contain ORFs encoding a protein highly homologous to human DNA topoisomerase III α (8) but differ significantly from the deduced amino acid sequence of DNA topoisomerase III β (23). Based on the partial sequencing results, a pair of primers "YPRT" (5'-TACATCAGCTACCCCGGACA-3') and "RHFL" (5'-GCAGCAAGCCAGGAAATGGCG-3'), each denoted by four of the amino acids encoded by the cDNA at the location of the primer, were designed for amplification of mouse genomic DNA by PCR. This pair of primers, which are separated by about 250 bp in the cDNA, yielded a 1.2-Kb PCR product. This product was sequenced, and from the sequence a primer 5'-AACATAAACACAGATTCAGTGAAG-3' (the "FSES primer") was synthesized. The YPRT and FSES pair of primers were found to give a clean 360-bp PCR product from mouse genomic DNA, and the pair were used for the PCR-based screening of the DuPont-Merck phage P1 library of mouse strain 129 genomic DNA (carried out by Genome Systems, St. Louis). Two clones containing overlapping DNA inserts of the TOP3 α region (GS control nos. 10667 and 10668) were obtained. A 19.6-Kb region spanning the active site tyrosine region in clone 10668 was mapped for restriction sites by using a commercial kit for long template PCR (Boehringer Mannheim) and a pair of primers DYHL (5'-GACTATCATCTGTATGGCCAGAAT-3') and GGCY (5'-CCTTCAAAGTCTCATCACATCCACC-3'). In each mapping experiment, one of the primers was ³²P-labeled at its 5'-end, and partial digestion of the PCR product with a number of restriction enzymes was carried out separately to determine the positions of the sites relative to the radiolabeled end. Based on this restriction map, a 2.3-Kb *EcoRI*-*KpnI* fragment and a 6.2-Kb *SspI*-*SspI* fragment were selected for targeted deletion of a region containing the active site tyrosine of the enzyme. These fragments were inserted into a targeting vector pPGK-neo/TK (kindly provided by A. McMahon, Harvard University).

Targeted Gene Disruption in Embryonic Stem Cells. The targeting construct was electroporated into the TC1 line (24) of mouse strain 129 embryonic stem cells (kindly provided by P. Leder and C. Deng, Harvard Medical School), and neomycin-resistant and thymidine kinase-minus clones were selected. Restriction digests of DNA samples from about 420 candidate clones were then screened by blot hybridization, by using appropriate probes described in a later section. Two of the nine correctly targeted embryonic stem cell clones were injected into C57BL/6 blastocysts to generate chimeric animals (carried out at the Center for Animal Resource and Comparative Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston).

Other Methods. DNA samples from mouse tail biopsies, embryos dissected from decidual swellings, or the inner cell mass of cultured blastocysts were prepared by exhaustive digestion of the materials with proteinase K, followed by phenol and chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation (25). Screening for germ-line chimeras that transmitted the Δ top3 α allele, breeding of the chimeras with strain C57BL/6 females, intercrosses of top3 α ^{+/-} heterozygotes, and histological sectioning of formaldehyde-fixed and paraffin-embedded

decidual swellings were all done according to standard procedures (25, 26). Culturing of preimplantation embryos was carried out on mouse embryonic fibroblast feeder layer cells grown in 24-well culture dishes in Heps-buffered DMEM supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum. Blastocysts were flushed out of uterine horns with saline at 3.5 days postcoitum (dpc), individually placed in the culture dish wells, and incubated in 5% CO₂ air at 37°C, as described (25).

RESULTS

Targeted Disruption of Mouse TOP3 α Gene. Fig. 1a depicts a region of the mouse TOP3 α gene (*Top*), the construct used for targeted deletion of a DNA segment encoding the active site tyrosine region of the enzyme (*Middle*), and the expected Δ top3 α allele after gene disruption (*Bottom*). DNA samples from tail biopsies of the F₁ progeny were prepared, and these samples were used for genotyping animals with respect to the TOP3 α locus by blot hybridization and PCR (Fig. 1 b-d). Heterozygous offspring were selected for intercrossing.

Requirement of Mouse DNA Topoisomerase III α During Embryogenesis. A total of 131 progeny from intercrosses of top3 α ^{+/-} heterozygotes were genotyped at 4-16 weeks of age. Among these progeny, 88 were found to be top3 α ^{+/-} and the remaining 43 TOP3 α ^{+/+}, with a ratio close to 2:1. There was no apparent difference between the top3 α ^{+/-} and the TOP3 α ^{+/+} mice in terms of their growth and overt appearance.




FIG. 1. Targeted disruption of mouse TOP3 α gene. (a) Schematics of the region of the mouse TOP3 α gene containing the active site tyrosine (*Top*), the targeting vector (*Middle*), and the Δ top3 α allele after gene disruption (*Bottom*). Filled boxes represent exons. K, S, E, and A denote *KpnI*, *SspI*, *EcoRI*, and *ApaI* restriction sites, respectively, and boxes denoted neo and TK represent the neomycin and herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase markers used. The locations of the probes used in blot hybridization (5' probe and neo probe) and primers used in PCR (arrows numbered 1-6) also are indicated. The nucleotide sequences of primers 1-4 are, respectively, 5'-CGCGGAAAAGCTCTATACACAA-3', 5'-TGTAGCGCCAAGTGCCAGCGGG-3', 5'-CGTGTTCGGGCTGTCAGCGCA-3', 5'-ATCGCCATGGGTCACGACGAGAT-3'. Primers 5 and 6 are the same as the YPRT and the FSES primer described in *Materials and Methods*. (b and c) Genotyping of tail biopsies by blot hybridization. (b) *KpnI* digests were fractionated by agarose gel electrophoresis, and blot hybridization was carried out by using the 5' probe. (c) *EcoRI* digests and the neo probe were used. The sizes of the fragments detected are in agreement with those expected from the drawings in a. (d) Genotyping of tail biopsies by PCR, using the pair of primers 1 and 2. The presence of the 1.6-kb product signified the presence of at least one copy of the mutant allele. The leftmost lane contained the "1-Kb ladder" size markers (GIBCO/BRL).

The conspicuous absence of $top3\alpha^{-/-}$ progeny, however, suggested that the homozygous mutant is inviable. This notion was confirmed by examination of embryos at various stages of gestation.

Fig. 2 (*Upper*) depicts individual decidual swellings dissected from uterine horns of female $top3\alpha^{+/-}$ mice mated to heterozygous males at 8.5 and 10.5 dpc. The latter showed two distinct size classes (Fig. 2*b*). A total of seven embryos were retrieved from the 10.5-dpc decidual swellings, and all maternal tissues were removed. Genotyping of the embryonic samples by PCR showed that embryos from all five larger deciduae were either $top3\alpha^{+/-}$ or $TOP3\alpha^{+/+}$, whereas the two smaller deciduae contained no organized embryos and embryonic tissues scraped off the specimens yielded no detectable DNA products. These results suggested that the smaller 10.5-dpc deciduae contained $top3\alpha^{-/-}$ embryos that had undergone resorption. The 8.5-dpc decidual swellings appeared to be uniform in size (Fig. 2*a*). Genotyping of embryonic tissues from a total of 39 of these embryos showed, however, that 29 contained either $top3\alpha^{+/-}$ or $TOP3\alpha^{+/+}$ embryos, and the remaining contained no embryonic material that could be genotyped. These and additional genotyping results of embryos at various stages of gestation are summarized in Table 1. Histological sectioning of 7.5-dpc deciduae also showed two distinct classes: those containing embryos of normal appearance that were readily identified to be either $top3\alpha^{+/-}$ or $TOP3\alpha^{+/+}$ (Fig. 2*c*), and those containing no discernible embryonic material that could be genotyped by PCR (Fig. 2*d*).

Culturing of Mouse $top3\alpha^{-/-}$ Preimplantation Blastocysts *in Vitro*. To examine further the effect of DNA topoisomerase III α inactivation on viability, preimplantation embryos were cultured on mouse feeder layer cells over a period of 8 days (Fig. 3). Among a total of 72 embryos examined, 63 showed normal trophoblast outgrowth and inner cell mass proliferation (Fig. 3*a*); 35 of these embryos were genotyped to be $top3\alpha^{+/-}$ and the remaining 18 $TOP3\alpha^{+/+}$. For the other 19, 10 showed poor proliferation of inner cell mass of the embryos during the first few days after hatching, and total cessation of growth thereafter (Fig. 3*b*); PCR analysis of the inner cell mass of these embryos showed the pattern expected for $top3\alpha^{-/-}$ cells. Of the remaining nine, seven failed to hatch or attach to




FIG. 2. (*a* and *b*) Decidual swellings from intercrosses of $top3\alpha^{+/-}$ mice 8.5 dpc (*a*) and 10.5 dpc (*b*). (*c* and *d*) Histological sections of 7.5-dpc deciduae from intercrosses of $top3\alpha^{+/-}$ mice. Whereas these decidual swellings showed a uniform external appearance, two distinct types were revealed by sectioning: the majority contained embryos of normal appearance, such as the one shown in *c*, and the remaining were devoid of embryonic material, such as the one shown in *d*. Embryos of normal appearance were invariably found by genotyping to possess at least one copy of unaltered $TOP3\alpha$. The bars correspond to a length of 100 μ m.

Table 1. Genotyping of embryos from intercrosses of $top3\alpha^{+/-}$ heterozygotes

| Embryos, dpc | +/+ | +/- | -/- | Unidentified | Total |
|--------------|-----|-----|-----|--------------|-------|
| 15.5 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 3* | 13 |
| 10.5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2† | 7 |
| 8.5 | 8 | 21 | 0 | 10† | 39 |
| 7.5 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 6† | 15 |

*Resorption

†Decidual swellings were empty or contained insufficient material for genotyping.

the feeder layer and two showed poor trophoblast outgrowth and no identifiable inner cell mass. Taken together, these results and those presented in the section above provide strong evidence that embryos lacking DNA topoisomerase III α die at an early stage. Implantation of $top3\alpha^{-/-}$ embryos and the induction of decidual reaction in the uterus were apparent, but viability of these embryos was severely compromised.

DISCUSSION

The early lethality of mouse $top3\alpha^{-/-}$ embryos shows that its cellular function can neither be substituted by its putative variant, DNA topoisomerase III β , nor by the three other DNA topoisomerases I, II α , and II β . The precise cellular function of mammalian DNA topoisomerase III α remains enigmatic. *In vitro*, recombinant human DNA topoisomerase III expressed in yeast cells was shown to relax only partially a highly negatively supercoiled DNA (8). Similar to the case of the budding yeast (21), the marginal relaxation activity of mammalian DNA topoisomerase III α , relative to the robust activities of mammalian DNA topoisomerases I, II α , and II β , argues against a significant role of the enzyme in the removal of negative supercoils *in vivo*. The indispensability of the enzyme during embryonic development strongly suggests that the cellular process or processes catalyzed by it cannot be substituted by DNA topoisomerases I, II α , and II β , and a role of the enzyme in the unlinking of parental strands during




FIG. 3. Culturing of a $top3\alpha^{+/-}$ (*a*) and a $top3\alpha^{-/-}$ (*b*) blastocyst on mouse embryonic fibroblast feeder layer cells. Embryos were collected 3.5 dpc and cultured for 4 days *in vitro* and photographed. The heterozygote showed normal trophoblast outgrowth and inner cell mass proliferation, and the homozygote showed poor trophoblast outgrowth and a rather small inner cell mass (indicated by an arrowhead in *b*). The bars correspond to a length of 100 μ m. (*c* and *d*) Genotyping of nine embryos cultured *in vitro*, using the primers specified in Fig. 1 (*a*). The leftmost lane of each gel slab contained the 1-Kb ladder size markers (GIBCO/BRL). The embryos shown in *a* and *b* corresponded to samples 9 and 5, respectively.

chromosome replication remains a likely function of the enzyme. If this hypothesis is true, inactivation of mammalian DNA topoisomerase III α is probably cell lethal. We do not know whether DNA topoisomerase III α and its putative variant DNA topoisomerase III β are functionally distinct or differentially regulated, as the catalytic properties of the putative DNA topoisomerase III β are unknown, and no information is presently available on how these enzymes are regulated during development.

The indispensability of mammalian DNA topoisomerase III α also provides insight on the possibility of the enzyme as a target of anticancer agents. In the past two decades, the DNA topoisomerases have emerged as important targets of antimicrobial and anticancer therapeutics (see for example, ref. 27). Whereas drugs acting on type IB and type IIA DNA topoisomerases are well known, no drug acting on type IA DNA topoisomerases has been developed. The majority of anticancer drugs targeting mammalian DNA topoisomerases, including camptothecin derivatives that target topoisomerase I and doxorubicin, etoposide, and mitoxantrone that target one or both of the type IIA enzymes, act by trapping the covalent enzyme-DNA intermediate (28). In this mode of action, the drugs act by converting a normal cellular enzyme to a DNA-damaging agent, and the cytotoxicity of the drugs increases with increasing cellular concentration of the enzyme (28). Several other drugs, including fostriecin (29), merbarone (30), and the bis(2,6-dioxopiperazine) derivatives (31), act by inhibition of DNA topoisomerase II α and perhaps II β as well. Preliminary studies suggest that in mammalian cells the concentration of DNA topoisomerase III α is much lower than those of the type IB and IIA topoisomerases (unpublished work), and therefore the enzyme is probably not an attractive target in the search of drugs that trap the enzyme-DNA covalent intermediate. The indispensability of the enzyme and its likely involvement in chromosome segregation suggest, however, that it might be a good target for drugs that inhibit its action.

Based on the findings in yeast, it appears likely that mammalian DNA topoisomerase III α may interact with one or more of the mammalian homologues of the yeast SGS1 protein. These proteins are commonly referred to as the *E. coli* RecQ helicase family of proteins, which, in addition to the BLM and WRN proteins mentioned earlier, also include the human RecQL protein and *E. coli* RecQ helicase (see for example, ref. 32). In mammalian cells, the presence of multiple RecQ-type proteins and the likely presence of type IA DNA topoisomerases offer challenging opportunities in the study of their interactions and physiological roles. In view of the essential role of DNA topoisomerase III α in early embryogenesis and perhaps in the propagation of genetic information, such studies are likely to provide important clues on the molecular mechanisms of genetic instability in Bloom's and Werner's syndrome cells, and perhaps in *ataxia telangiectasia* cells as well.

We are most grateful to G. C. Li, H. Ouyang, E. Robertson, A. McMahon, and L. Berg for advice, A. McMahon and B. Jacques for the gene-targeting vector pGKneoTK, P. Leder and C. Deng for the TC1 cell line, and A. Sharpe and L. Du of the Center for Animal Resource and Comparative Medicine at Brigham and Women's Hospital for expert help in the construction of chimeric mice. This work

was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health and an award of the Lucille P. Markey Charitable Trust to the Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology of Harvard University for exploratory research.

1. Ellis, N. A., Groden, J., Ye, T. Z., Straughen, J., Lennon, D. J., Ciocci, S., Proytcheva, M. & German, J. (1995) *Cell* **83**, 655–666.
2. Yu, C.-E., Oshima, J., Fu, Y. H., Wijsman, E. M., Hisama, F., Alisch, R., Mathews, S., Nakura, J., Miki, T., Ouais, S., Martin, G. M., Mulligan, J. & Schellenberg, G. D. (1996) *Science* **272**, 258–262.
3. Fritz, E., Elsea, S. H., Patel, P. I. & Meyn, M. S. (1997) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **94**, 4538–4542.
4. Wang, J. C. (1996) *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **65**, 635–692.
5. Gangloff, S., McDonald, J. P., Bendixen, C., Arthur, L. & Rothstein, R. (1994) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **14**, 8391–8398.
6. Lu, J., Mullen, J. R., Brill, S. J., Kleff, S., Romeo, A. M. & Sternglanz, R. (1996) *Nature (London)* **383**, 678–679.
7. Savitsky, K., Bar-Shira, A., Gilad, S., Rotman, G., Ziv, Y., et al. (1995) *Science* **268**, 1749–1753.
8. Hanai, R., Caron, P. C. & Wang, J. C. (1996) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **93**, 3653–3657.
9. Qi, H., Menzel, R. & Tse-Dinh, Y.-C. (1996) *Mol. Microbiol.* **21**, 703–711.
10. Qi, H., Menzel, R. & Tse-Dinh, Y.-C. (1997) *J. Mol. Biol.* **267**, 481–489.
11. Pruss, G. J., Manes, S. H. & Drlica, K. (1982) *Cell* **31**, 35–42.
12. DiNardo, S., Voelkel, S. K., Sternglanz, R., Reynolds, A. E. & Wright, A. (1982) *Cell* **31**, 43–55.
13. Gellert, M. (1981) *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **50**, 665–697.
14. Liu, L. F. & Wang, J. C. (1987) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **84**, 7024–7027.
15. Drolet, M., Masse, E., X. & Liu, L. F. (1994) *J. Biol. Chem.* **269**, 2068–2074.
16. Drolet, M., Phoenix, P., Menzel, R., Masse, E., Liu, L. F. & Crouchet, R. J. (1996) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **92**, 3526–3530.
17. Schlegel, M. A., Agbunag, R., Michaels, M. L. & Miller, J. H. (1992) *J. Bacteriol.* **174**, 5168–5170.
18. Walis, J. W., Chrebet, G., Brodsky, G., Rolfe, M. & Rothstein, R. (1989) *Cell* **58**, 409–419.
19. Wang, J. C., Caron, P. R. & Kim, R. A. (1990) *Cell* **62**, 403–406.
20. Wang, J. C. (1991) *J. Biol. Chem.* **266**, 6659–6662.
21. Kim, R. A. & Wang, J. C. (1992) *J. Biol. Chem.* **267**, 17178–17185.
22. DiGate, R. & Mariani, K. (1988) *J. Biol. Chem.* **263**, 13366–13373.
23. Kavasaki, K., Minoshima, S., Nakato, E., Shibuya, K., Shintani, A., Schmeits, J. L., Wang, J. & Shimizu, N. (1997) *Genome Res.* **7**, 250–261.
24. Deng, C., Anthony, W. B., Zhou, F., Kuo, A. & Leder, P. (1996) *Cell* **84**, 911–921.
25. Hogan, B., Beddington, R., Costantini, F. & Lacy, E. (1994) *Manipulating the Mouse Embryo: A Laboratory Manual* (Cold Spring Harbor Lab. Press, Plainview, NY), 2nd Ed.
26. Kaufman, M. H. (1992) *The Atlas of Mouse Development* (Academic, San Diego).
27. Liu, L. F. (1994) *DNA Topoisomerase and Their Applications in Pharmacology* (Academic, San Diego).
28. Liu, L. F. (1989) *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **58**, 351–375.
29. Boritzki, T. J., Wolfard, T. S., Besserer, J. A., Jackson, R. C. & Fry, D. W. (1988) *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **37**, 4063–4068.
30. Drake, F. H., Hofmann, G. A., Mong, S. M., Bartus, J. O., Hertzberg, R. P., Johnson, R. K., Mattern, M. R. & Mirabelli, C. K. (1989) *Cancer Res.* **49**, 2578–2583.
31. Tanabe, K., Ikegama, Y., Ishida, R. & Andoh, T. (1991) *Cancer Res.* **51**, 4903–4908.
32. Ellis, N. A. (1995) *Nature (London)* **381**, 110–111.